Review

- Fourier Analysis Zn, x - x Znk eigenvectors ~ wx1 -- wxk * Glumber on [011] * Randon wale on Cycle $(\stackrel{n}{\circ})(\stackrel{n}{\circ})(\stackrel{n}{2}) \qquad (\stackrel{n}{n-1})(\stackrel{n}{n})$ $\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow$ $\circ \frac{1}{n} \frac{2}{n} \qquad \frac{n-1}{n-1} \qquad \downarrow$ I speetral gap $\theta(\underline{l}_{a2})$ spectral gap - Continuous Time V_= et(P-I) Vo - Functional Analysis in Continuous Time $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} O_{f}(v_{t} | | \mu) \leq -\rho O_{f}(v_{t} | | \mu)$ * Poincare: for \mathcal{X}^2 * MLGJ: for D_{KL} $\mathcal{D}_{iidulef form} = \mathcal{E}(f(\frac{\nu}{h}), \frac{\nu}{h}) \geq \mathcal{P} \mathcal{D}_{f}(\nu \| \mu)$

- Dirichlet Form: $\mathcal{E}(g,h) := \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathcal{E}_{(x,y) \sim 0} \left[(g(x) - g(y)) (h(x) - h(y)) \right] \right]$ - Comparison Method (easy) P, P' have same stationary $\forall x \neq y : P(x,y) \geq C \cdot P'(x,y)$ P-Poincaré/MLSI/···· for P ⇒ C·P-Poicaré/MLSI/···· for P Grample. Metropolis & Glauber for 9-coloring have same Poincare/MISI1- consts up to 9-A Plan for Today - Comparison method (hard) -Trading time for approx

- Sampling matchings

Comparison Method
*All Chains time-reversible for now ...,
there is the ergodic flow of P' through
that of P with low congestion and
length.
$$\Rightarrow (1-\lambda_2(P)) \ge \frac{1}{C}(1-\lambda_2(P'))$$

depends on Grg. then.
Rading: $(S+t) \mapsto convex$ combination of
paths $s=x_0-x_1--x_2=t$
- Basier to think in terms of flows:
TT: distribution of path if we sample $(s_1)-\infty q$.
Remark When trivial routing has
by Congestion we get to transfer net
just spectral gap / Reincare bat also
MLSI, ctc.

Remark. When any length of path $\sim TT$ is l, it must be that congestion > L. total flow = l total capacity = 1 Theorem. Suppose II is a dist over paths KonX, -- - Xe such that (Xo1Xe)~Q'. Let c be: Then $\mathcal{E}(g_{1}g) \geq \frac{1}{C} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{p}(g_{1}g) \cdot \sum_{scong x | en}^{s}$ *Dirichlet form Dirichlet form* Corollary. $(1-\lambda_2(P)) > \frac{1}{C} \cdot (1-\lambda_2(P'))$. Corollary. ISI for P => USI for P. Remark. P'= ideal chain is much-used!

Proof Let X ~ X, ~ - ~ Xe be sampled ~ TT: $\mathcal{E}_{p}(q_{1}q) := \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(g(x_{p}) - g(x_{p})\right)^{2}\right]$ By Cauchy-Schwarz we have $(|+\cdots+|)(|g(x_0)-g(x_1)|^2+\cdots+(g(x_{\ell-1})-g(x_{\ell}))^2) \ge$ ltimes $(g(x_0)-g(x_1)) + - - + (g(x_{l-1})-g(x_l))^2 = (g(x_0)-g(x_l))^2$ Taking expectations we get (g(x)-g(a)) $RH-S = 2 \varepsilon_p(g,g)$ LHS $\leq 2C \cdot \varepsilon_{p}(g,g)$ So $\mathcal{E}_{p}(g,g) \gtrsim \frac{1}{C} \mathcal{E}_{p}(g,g)$

Example (hyercube) Ideal Chain mixes in 1 step. In fact P'is rank 1 so $\lambda_1(p') = 1 \geq 0 = \lambda_2(p') = \dots = \lambda_n(p')$ $\Rightarrow (1 - \lambda_2(Glauber)) \geqslant \int \left(\frac{1}{n_{x,n}}\right) \cdot 1 = \int \left(\frac{1}{n_2}\right)$ length Engestion not tight Example (random walk on path) P: ideal chain P: Metropolis with 4=uniform (similarly for cycle) Routing: (Sit) ~ subpath from s to t. Length: O(n) Congestion: $\leq \frac{n^{2} \times \frac{1}{n} \times \frac{1}{n}}{\frac{1}{n} \times \frac{1}{n}} = O(n)$ $\Rightarrow (1-\lambda_2(P)) \geqslant \alpha(\frac{1}{n^2}) \leftarrow \text{this is tight}^{!}$

Trading time for approx [dernin-Sinchir]
Suppose we have
$$\alpha$$
-approx counting ALG
for self-reducible problem.
 $\Rightarrow (1+\varepsilon)$ -approx in time $Poly(n, \alpha, \frac{1}{\varepsilon})$
Corollary. $\alpha = poly(n) \Rightarrow FPRAS$
approx counting is all-anothing
Example. (colored spanning trees)
Court spanning trees with
 n_1 blace, n_2 blue, n_3 coange, --
Thm: $\exists z \tilde{O}(1 \text{ palettel})$ -approx ALG

Question: How to sample supproblem w.p. X ALG (subproblem) Idea: Random Walk on free. R put Meteopolis filter to get a ALG as stationary dist $Claim: t_{mix} = Poly(n, x)$. Proof. We compare with ideal chain. Routing: We route (s,t) demand on the unique tree path. Length: 2.depth = Poly(n). Zuisinie = Zuisi (+) sis in y-5 subtree

Congestion: X $\sum \mu'(s)\mu'(t) =: F$ 51+ routed through Xmy or y-x $F \leq O(\sum \mu'(z)) = \frac{\sum ALG(z)}{\sum GSUBHREE of y} = \frac{\sum ALG(z)}{\sum ALG(z)} =$ zettee $O(\alpha \text{ depth}) \cdot \frac{\mu(y)}{\mu(root)} = O(\alpha \text{ poly(n)}) \frac{\mu(y)}{\mu(root)}$ $Q(x,y) = Q(y,x) \gg \mu'(y) \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha \cdot poly(n)}$ => Congestion = poly(n,x) We conclude $|-\lambda_2(P) > | poly(1, x)$ If we start at noot, we get $Ig \mathcal{N}^{2}(root || \mu') \leq polylg(n, \alpha) \\ \implies t_{mix}(P, root, \delta) = poly(n, \alpha, 19\frac{1}{\delta}) \\ \vdots$ Canonical Paths

Suppose we have determinist routing and want: Congestion $(x \sim y)$. specific to 2-y Encoding: A map from F(s,t) | x y & s+ path] -> _Cx[M] set of size M that's injective & if $(s_{7}+) \mapsto (r, junk)$ we have $\mu(s)\mu(t) \leq C \cdot \mu(r) Q(x,y)$

$$= \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}, y \in \mathcal{$$

Swample. (hypercube)
Suppose
$$x \sim y$$

idiffer in coord i
Encoding:
 $(S_{1}t) \leftarrow r := (S_{1}, ..., S_{1}, t_{i+1}, ..., t_{n})$
Injective because knowing $y \otimes r$ we
Can recover both $S \otimes t$!
 $\mu(S) \ \mu(t) \leq O(n) \cdot \mu(r) \mu(x) P(x, y)$
 $Q(x, y)$
Note: In general for uniform μ we
only need $P(x, y) \geq \frac{1}{poly(n)} \otimes injective!$

Mancov Chain for Matchings

- Goal: Given unweighted graph G, count/sample its matchings. not necessarily perfect matchings - Marnov Chain | proposed by Broder, analyzed by Jersum-Sinclair Move from M -> M' by * Remaining edge [] -> [... * Adding odge [] -> [] * Exchanging edge 11: ->1 not strictly + Metropolis rule necessary

Details are unimportant, as long as $P(M,M') \ge \frac{1}{Poly(n)}$ for every valid move from M to M'. We will design paths for every M to M' & use injective encoding! Chough hecause miform $\mu(M) \mu(M') \leq poly(n) \cdot \mu(encoding) Q(transition)$ this is automatic







Claim: Injective! Proof : -We can recover M D M' from encoding 2 N. - We know what path/cycle we are unravelling by N~N' - We can rewind unnavel starting from N/N to recover M. -We can continue unravel from N/N' to recover M'. Thm: $1 - \lambda_2(\text{chain}) \gtrsim \frac{1}{Poly(n)}$ Corollary: $t_{\text{mix}} = Poly(n) \leftarrow Open: \text{fast algs!}$